

CHALLENGES WITH HEIFER SELECTION: HOW MANY SHOULD I BREED AND WHAT ARE THEY WORTH?

Ron Lemenager & Scott Lake

Beef Extension Specialists
Animal Sciences, Purdue University & University of Wyoming

CHALLENGES

The beef industry in the last several years has seen unprecedented high prices for feeder calves, finished steers, replacement breeding cattle, and cull cows. It's easy to be lulled into complacency and bid our current and past profits back into replacements if we are not vigilant. By all accounts, the national cow herd is growing as a result of retaining more beef replacement heifers and culling fewer beef cows. The big ticket cost item for the cow herd, feed, has increased over the last decade as a result of rising grassland values, inflation in all land values, and diversion of pasture and hay land into grain production in many areas of the country. Rasby (2015) recently reported that pasture leases in Nebraska have nearly doubled since 2000 and are approaching \$50/cow-calf pair on a monthly basis. Recently, we have seen lower corn prices and marginally lower cost of some supplemental feeds that follow corn prices, but the annual cost of keeping a cow has increased. In addition, the most recent four month period, depending on which region of the country you reference, feeder calf prices have dropped somewhere between \$30 and \$60/cwt. FAPRI (2015) projections for weanling feeder calf prices (600-650 lb, Oklahoma City) for 2015 through 2020, respectively, are \$242, \$225, \$198, \$182, \$169, and \$163/cwt.

One of our biggest challenges in making cow herd expansion decisions at the ranch level, is accurately estimating future prices, not on the average, but on the day we decide to buy an input or sell an output. If we had the perfect crystal ball, and we could accurately predict what the prices of feed (pasture, hay, supplements), feeder calves, cull cows, fuel, equipment replacement, and interest rates would be over the next eight to ten years, the answer to, "What are replacement heifers worth?" would be relatively easy to calculate. Without accurate price forecasting, all we can do is create a number of possible scenarios using our best estimate of price ranges that seem possible for the most volatile components. The purpose of this paper is not to come up with a single answer to the questions of; "How many heifers should I breed?" and "What are they worth?", but rather to work through some likely scenarios that hopefully will provide some insight that will stimulate the thought process to make the best informed decisions that result in optimizing long-term ranch profitability.

Let's begin by trying to answer the question of, "What are replacement heifers worth" in today's market? My simplistic answer is, "All cattle are worth market price, anything above or below that is based on perceived value." The question then becomes, "Is perception equal to reality?" The value of raised replacement heifers on the ranch's cash flow statement, and what price someone will buy or sell quality replacement heifers, may not be the same dollar value. Therefore, value of replacement females is not easily determined, and a single answer definitely does not fit all ranches. The bottom line really boils down to, "What are the input and output prices going to look like in the future?" and "How much risk is the ranch willing to take?"

Annual cost of keeping a cow varies from ranch to ranch, and each ranch needs to individually and accurately determine their costs. Rasby (2015) recently suggested in his budget for Nebraska that annual feed costs are \$508.88 for mature cows, \$558.25 for two-year old heifers, and \$358.25 for replacement heifers. Other cash costs (vet/med and costs for buildings, equipment, marketing, and operating expenses) averaged \$85 per cow, and ownership costs (interest, bull cost, insurance, and depreciation) averaged \$133 per cow. This resulted in annual cow costs, excluding labor, of \$727 for mature cows, \$776 for two-year old heifers, and \$576 for replacement heifers.

There is always danger in using assumptions and averages when trying to determine profitability. However, if we could determine net present value of replacement females we can gain some insight into the question, "What are replacement females worth?" There are several easy to use Excel spreadsheet decision making tools available. The spreadsheet application developed by Iowa State (Schulz and Gunn, 2015) was downloaded from the website (see reference below to access) and used for this simulation. The values used in the simulation were; annual cow costs (\$400, 500, \$600, and \$700), future weanling steer prices during the productive life of the replacement female (\$160, \$180, \$200, \$220, and \$240/cwt), calf weaning weights (500 vs. 600 lb for mature cows with a 40 lb discount for the two-year old female), salvage value of cull cows (1200 lb for two-year old heifers vs. 1250 lb for mature cows with a cull cow price of \$80/cwt), a 5% discount rate (could be interest on operating loan, or a family draw) and a range from one to eight calves produced during a replacement heifer's productive life. The resulting maximum bid prices (or breakeven price) are presented in Table 1.

If we assume for a moment that we could buy (or the ranch is willing to sell) replacement heifers with a relatively low bid price of \$1000 (ex. 600 lb @ \$167/cwt) after weaning, and that all weanling replacement heifers will become pregnant during their first breeding season, then only the areas highlighted in light color within each table section represent production parameters where heifers can be profitable. In contrast, the dark shaded areas in each section of Table 1 provide an estimate of the maximum bid price that should be for heifers to remain profitable in the example scenarios. The simulation suggests that the only profit opportunity for replacement heifers valued at \$1000, comes after weaning their sixth calf when they are capable of weaning 500 lb calves (1st calf = 460 lb), with an average annual cow cost of \$400, and when future calf prices average \$220/cwt across all years. If we assume calf prices will return to an average of \$240/cwt for the productive life of the female, then a profit opportunity comes following weaning of the fourth calf. If replacement heifers are capable of producing 600 lb calves as a mature cow (1st calf = 560 lb), then profit opportunities exist following weaning of the seventh calf, when future calf prices are \$180/cwt, fourth calf when valued at \$200/cwt, third calf when valued at \$220/cwt, and second calf when valued at \$240/cwt. If annual cow cost increases to \$500, there is a profit opportunity, but only when mature cows wean a 600 lb calf with an average value of \$220/cwt (7th calf) and \$240/cwt (4th calf).

Let's look at the numbers another way:

1) What would happen if we could purchase a weanling replacement female for \$1000, but our ranch data says that only 85% of the heifers can be expected to be pregnant at the end of the breeding season. What's the breakeven value of this replacement heifer now?

Answer: $\$1000 \div .85 = \850 .

2) If replacement heifers are selling for \$2000, what should I do? If we look in the tables, there are only two scenarios where these heifers can be profitable. In each case annual cow cost must average less than \$400, calf weaning weights must average 600 lb (mature cow basis) and future calf prices need to average \$240/cwt (after weaning the seventh calf and eighth calf). If the ranch can sell a replacement heifer for \$2000, it appears the simulation would suggest that would be a more profitable alternative.

3) If replacement heifers could be sold off the ranch for \$1000, what would my profit/loss position be if I retain her? If we assume ranch weanling calf prices will average \$180/cwt, annual cow cost will average \$600, calf weaning weights will average 500 lb (mature cow basis), and the cow will produce 3 calves during her productive life; then the simulation would suggest that we will lose \$1170 per retained female. This is determined by finding the number in the table associated with these assumed values (-170) and adding the lost opportunity cost of \$1000 = $\$171 + \$1000 = \$1171$ loss per retained heifer.

If we use the same assumptions for purchasing a bred, yearling replacement heifer that was used for purchasing a weaning replacement heifer, and we add an assumed \$400 development cost to her value ($\$1000 + \400), we have a base price of \$1400 to use for comparison. Table 2 provides insight into what the maximum bid price (breakeven) could look like for the bred, yearling replacement female. Interestingly, results presented in Table 2 mirror the results shown in Table 1 and can be interpreted in a similar manner to that described above. The two big advantages of purchasing a bred yearling vs. a weanling replacement heifer prospect, in this simulation, is that we know the bred yearling is at least bred and capable of conception. In both replacement heifer scenarios, however, there are no guarantees the next breeding season will result in a pregnancy. A second advantage of purchased bred heifers vs. purchased weanling heifers, at the ranch level, is that it does eliminate one management group which will reduce labor and facility requirements.

Again, the table values presented here are not intended to be the final answer, but rather they are intended to stimulate thought. This exercise does, however, provide a glimpse of what could happen under a limited number of possible scenarios. Each ranch is encouraged to use their most realistic numbers and conservative feeder calf projections to calculate net present value of both their retained and/or purchased young replacement females to optimize profitability into the future. The Schulz and Gunn (2015) net present value spreadsheet is but one example of a decision-making tool that could be used.

The answer to the second question, “How many should I breed?” obviously hinges on our answer to the first question and how much risk the ranch is willing to accept. If we agree with the range in assumed values used in this simulation, then one might conclude that if the market provides an opportunity to sell quality weanling replacement females at a premium to \$1000, then a ranch might be more profitable over the next several years by selling a larger percentage of this year’s heifers calves, and delay herd expansion. Additionally, if a ranch can develop replacement heifers for less than \$400 and sell pregnant yearlings for a premium above \$1400, there might be some profit opportunity. If replacement heifers are retained or purchased to maintain herd size, consider selecting only those heifers that have the highest potential to increase the long term genetic and profit potential of the ranch.

SUMMARY

- 1) “All cattle are worth market price, anything above or below that is based on perceived value.” Perceived value may not equal real value.
- 2) Be realistic in your cost projections, and a bit conservative in revenue generation when making replacement heifer decisions that can a long term impact on ranch profitability.
- 3) Use decision making tools to help determine net present value of replacement heifers in your ranch operation.
- 4) Evaluate how much risk your ranch is willing to accept.
- 5) Low annual cow costs, heavy weaning weights per cow exposed, and high feeder calf prices individually, and collectively, are important parameters that improve the odds of profitability.

Table 1. Maximum Bid Price for Weanling Replacement Heifer Calves with Differing; Average Calf Weaning Weights, Annual Cow Costs, and Projected Calf Prices

| Calf, no. ^c | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$400 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$500 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 461 | 502 | 544 | 586 | 628 | 275 | 317 | 358 | 400 | 442 |
| 2 | 454 | 539 | 624 | 709 | 794 | 182 | 266 | 351 | 436 | 521 |
| 3 | 413 | 539 | 665 | 791 | 917 | 58 | 184 | 310 | 436 | 562 |
| 4 | 374 | 539 | 704 | 869 | 1034 | -59 | 106 | 271 | 436 | 602 |
| 5 | 336 | 539 | 741 | 944 | 1146 | -171 | 31 | 234 | 436 | 639 |
| 6 | 301 | 539 | 777 | 1015 | 1253 | -278 | -40 | 198 | 436 | 674 |
| 7 | 267 | 539 | 811 | 1083 | 1355 | -379 | -108 | 164 | 436 | 708 |
| 8 | 235 | 539 | 843 | 1147 | 1451 | -476 | -172 | 132 | 436 | 740 |
| Calf, no. ^c | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$600 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$700 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 89 | 131 | 172 | 214 | 256 | -97 | -55 | -14 | 28 | 70 |
| 2 | -91 | -6 | 79 | 164 | 249 | -277 | -192 | -107 | -22 | 63 |
| 3 | -296 | -170 | -44 | 82 | 208 | -651 | -525 | -399 | -273 | -147 |
| 4 | -492 | -327 | -162 | 3 | 169 | -925 | -760 | -595 | -430 | -264 |
| 5 | -679 | -476 | -274 | -71 | 131 | -1186 | -984 | -781 | -579 | -376 |
| 6 | -856 | -618 | -380 | -142 | 96 | -1435 | -1197 | -959 | -721 | -483 |
| 7 | -1026 | -754 | -482 | -210 | 62 | -1672 | -1400 | -1128 | -856 | -584 |
| 8 | -1187 | -883 | -579 | -275 | 30 | -1898 | -1594 | -1289 | -985 | -681 |
| Calf, no. ^c | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$400 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$500 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 533 | 584 | 635 | 686 | 737 | 347 | 398 | 449 | 500 | 551 |
| 2 | 596 | 698 | 801 | 903 | 1006 | 323 | 426 | 528 | 631 | 734 |
| 3 | 620 | 772 | 924 | 1076 | 1228 | 266 | 418 | 570 | 722 | 874 |
| 4 | 644 | 843 | 1042 | 1241 | 1440 | 211 | 410 | 609 | 808 | 1007 |
| 5 | 666 | 910 | 1154 | 1397 | 1641 | 159 | 402 | 646 | 890 | 1134 |
| 6 | 687 | 974 | 1260 | 1547 | 1833 | 109 | 395 | 682 | 968 | 1254 |
| 7 | 708 | 1035 | 1362 | 1689 | 2016 | 61 | 388 | 715 | 1042 | 1369 |
| 8 | 727 | 1093 | 1458 | 1824 | 2190 | 16 | 382 | 748 | 1113 | 1479 |
| Calf, no. ^c | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$600 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$700 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| | 161 | 212 | 263 | 314 | 365 | -24 | 26 | 77 | 128 | 179 |
| | 51 | 154 | 256 | 359 | 461 | -221 | -119 | -16 | 86 | 189 |
| | -89 | 63 | 215 | 367 | 519 | -444 | -292 | -140 | 12 | 164 |
| | -222 | -23 | 176 | 375 | 574 | -655 | -456 | -257 | -58 | 141 |
| | -349 | -105 | 139 | 382 | 626 | -857 | -613 | -369 | -125 | 118 |
| | -470 | -183 | 103 | 389 | 676 | -1048 | -762 | -476 | -189 | 97 |
| | -585 | -258 | 69 | 396 | 723 | -1231 | -904 | -577 | -250 | 77 |
| | -694 | -329 | 37 | 403 | 768 | -1405 | -1040 | -674 | -308 | 58 |

^a 1st calf weaning weight = either 460 or 560 lb, 2nd and subsequent calves = either 500 or 600 lb.

^b Annual cow cost across all calf crops; ^c Parity number; ^d Projected average calf price across calf crops (\$/cwt)

Table 2. Maximum Bid Price for Bred Yearling Heifers with Differing; Average Calf Weaning Weights, Annual Cow Costs, and Projected Calf Prices

| Calf, no. ^c | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$400 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$500 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 842 | 883 | 925 | 967 | 1009 | 751 | 793 | 834 | 876 | 918 |
| 2 | 835 | 920 | 1005 | 1090 | 1174 | 658 | 743 | 828 | 912 | 997 |
| 3 | 794 | 920 | 1046 | 1172 | 1298 | 534 | 660 | 786 | 912 | 1039 |
| 4 | 755 | 920 | 1085 | 1250 | 1415 | 417 | 582 | 747 | 912 | 1079 |
| 5 | 717 | 920 | 1122 | 1325 | 1527 | 305 | 507 | 710 | 912 | 1115 |
| 6 | 682 | 920 | 1158 | 1396 | 1634 | 198 | 436 | 674 | 912 | 1151 |
| 7 | 648 | 920 | 1192 | 1464 | 1735 | 97 | 369 | 641 | 912 | 1184 |
| 8 | 616 | 920 | 1224 | 1528 | 1832 | 0 | 304 | 608 | 912 | 1217 |
| Calf, no. ^c | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$600 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 460/500... Wwt, lb ^a , \$700 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 660 | 702 | 744 | 785 | 827 | 570 | 611 | 653 | 695 | 737 |
| 2 | 481 | 566 | 650 | 735 | 820 | 304 | 388 | 473 | 558 | 643 |
| 3 | 275 | 401 | 527 | 653 | 779 | 16 | 142 | 268 | 394 | 520 |
| 4 | 79 | 244 | 410 | 575 | 740 | -259 | -93 | 72 | 237 | 402 |
| 5 | -107 | 95 | 298 | 500 | 703 | -520 | -317 | -115 | 88 | 290 |
| 6 | -285 | -47 | 191 | 429 | 667 | -769 | -530 | -292 | -54 | 184 |
| 7 | -454 | -182 | 89 | 361 | 633 | -1005 | -734 | -462 | -190 | 82 |
| 8 | -616 | -311 | -7 | 297 | 601 | -1231 | -927 | -623 | -319 | -14 |
| Calf, no. ^c | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$400 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$500 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 914 | 965 | 1016 | 1067 | 1117 | 824 | 874 | 925 | 976 | 1027 |
| 2 | 976 | 1079 | 1182 | 1284 | 1387 | 799 | 902 | 1005 | 1107 | 1210 |
| 3 | 1001 | 1153 | 1305 | 1457 | 1609 | 742 | 894 | 1046 | 1198 | 1350 |
| 4 | 1025 | 1224 | 1423 | 1622 | 1821 | 687 | 886 | 1085 | 1284 | 1483 |
| 5 | 1047 | 1291 | 1535 | 1778 | 2022 | 635 | 878 | 1122 | 1366 | 1610 |
| 6 | 1068 | 1355 | 1641 | 1928 | 2214 | 585 | 871 | 1158 | 1444 | 1731 |
| 7 | 1089 | 1416 | 1743 | 2070 | 2397 | 538 | 865 | 1192 | 1519 | 1846 |
| 8 | 1108 | 1474 | 1839 | 2205 | 2571 | 492 | 858 | 1224 | 1590 | 1955 |
| Calf, no. ^c | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$600 Annual Cost ^b | | | | | 560/600... Wwt, lb ^a , \$700 Annual Cost ^b | | | | |
| | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | | Average Feeder Calf Price, \$/cwt | | | | |
| | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d | \$160 ^d | \$180 ^d | \$200 ^d | \$220 ^d | \$240 ^d |
| 1 | 733 | 784 | 834 | 885 | 936 | 642 | 693 | 744 | 795 | 845 |
| 2 | 622 | 725 | 828 | 930 | 1033 | 445 | 548 | 650 | 753 | 856 |
| 3 | 482 | 634 | 786 | 938 | 1090 | 223 | 375 | 527 | 679 | 831 |
| 4 | 349 | 548 | 747 | 946 | 1145 | 12 | 211 | 410 | 609 | 808 |
| 5 | 222 | 466 | 710 | 954 | 1197 | -190 | 54 | 298 | 541 | 785 |
| 6 | 102 | 388 | 674 | 961 | 1247 | -382 | -95 | 191 | 477 | 764 |
| 7 | -13 | 314 | 641 | 968 | 1295 | -565 | -238 | 89 | 416 | 744 |
| 8 | -123 | 243 | 608 | 974 | 1340 | -739 | -373 | -7 | 358 | 724 |

^a 1st calf weaning weight = either 460 or 560 lb, 2nd and subsequent calves = either 500 or 600 lb.

^b Annual cow cost across all calf crops; ^c Parity number; ^d Projected average calf price across calf crops (\$/cwt)