

Evaluation of Masters Choice Corn Silage on Growing Steer Performance

Jiehua Xiong
Bradley M. Boyd
Levi McPhillips
Kyle Vosburgh
Galen E. Erickson

Summary with Implications

A growing study evaluated three corn silage hybrids on growing steer performance. The three hybrids were: a conventional hybrid-Farm Choice (CON) commonly grown in Eastern Nebraska which served as the control, Masters Choice hybrids MCT6365 RIB (MC1) selected to improve fiber and starch digestion and MCT6733 GT3000 (MC2) that has been selected to improve fiber digestion in cattle. Relative to CON, feeding hybrid MC1 resulted in similar DMI, but numerically increased ADG which significantly improved F:G compared to CON. Feeding MC2 led to greater DMI, similar ADG, and poorer (greater) F:G compared to CON. Feeding Masters Choice hybrid MCT6365 RIB (MC1) corn silage at 80% of the diet DM likely improved digestion and energy availability to the steers, which allowed greater ADG and improved F:G, while the opposite was true for MC2. Differences in hybrids exist when fed to growing cattle at 80% of the diet.

Introduction

Previous research has shown an economic incentive to feeding cattle diets with elevated levels of corn silage when feed costs are high. When increased levels of corn silage are fed in corn-based finishing diets, NEm and NEg values of the ration are depressed. In recent studies completed at UNL, feeding 30 to 45% corn silage was more economical than lower silage inclusions, despite slightly poorer feed conversions. Methods that improve feed conversion when silage is elevated in diets

will make corn silage even more logical for backgrounding and feeding operations. Hybrids may be selected for differences in traits that may lead to better digestion, which should impact performance. Most previous evaluations of hybrid impact on corn silage use by beef and dairy cattle relies on laboratory testing. Those techniques may or may not predict actual performance when fed.

Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to evaluate two Masters Choice hybrids that have been selected to improve fiber plus starch digestion (MC1) and fiber digestion (MC2) in cattle (based on laboratory assays). These Masters Choice hybrids were compared to a hybrid commonly grown in Eastern Nebraska.

Procedure

Three hybrids of corn silage were grown and harvested at the Eastern Nebraska Research and Extension Center (ENREC) near Mead, NE. The three hybrids were a hybrid-Farm Choice (CON) commonly grown in Eastern Nebraska which served as the control, Masters Choice hybrids MCT6365 RIB (MC1) and MCT6733 GT3000 (MC2) that have been selected to improve fiber plus starch digestion (MC1) and fiber digestion (MC2) in cattle. Corn silage was targeted at 37–38% DM for green chop at harvesting and was harvested from Aug 27th through Aug 29th, 2018. Corn silages were stored in Silage Bags (Up North Plastics, Cottage Grove, MN) on a concrete pad until the initiation of the trial on Feb 13, 2019. Corn silage samples were collected for fermentation analysis prior to, middle, and end of the growing trial to ensure proper ensiling. All feeds were sampled weekly for DM and monthly composites analyzed for nutrients. Weekly corn silage samples were sent to commercial lab for yeast and mold counts.

An 84-day growing trial was conducted utilizing 288 crossbred steers (initial BW = 667 ± 27 lb). All steers were limit-fed a common diet consisting of a 50:50 blend

of alfalfa hay and SweetBran (Cargill, Blair, NE) on a DM basis at 2% of BW for five days prior to trial initiation to minimize variation in gut fill. Following five days of limit feeding, steers were weighed for two consecutive days and the two-day weights averaged for initial BW. Cattle were stratified by BW (two blocks: light and heavy) and assigned randomly to pens with 12 head per pen. Pens were assigned randomly to one of the three treatments, with 8 pens per treatment. Steers were implanted with Ralgro (Merck Animal Health) during initial processing.

The three treatments (Table 1) were set up in a generalized randomized block design. All diets (DM basis) included 15% modified distillers grains plus solubles (MDGS), 5% supplement, and 80% of respective corn silage for that treatment (CON, MC1 or MC2). Monensin was added in the supplement to supply 200 mg/steer daily of Rumensin (Elanco Animal Health). Diets were formulated to ensure protein and nutrient requirements were met so any performance differences would be due to energetic differences between the different silage treatments. Steers were fed once daily in the morning and bunks were scooped and orts weighed as necessary and at the end of the growing trial to adjust for DMI. Ending BW was collected similar to initial BW with steers limit-fed at 2% of BW of 50:50 alfalfa hay and SweetBran diet for five days and weighed for two consecutive days.

Performance data (BW, DMI, ADG and F:G) were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) with pen serving as experimental unit. Block was included in the model as a fixed effect.

Results

After fermentation, DM declined slightly to 35–36% (Table 2). The fermentation analysis of the three corn silage hybrids indicated adequate and similar ensiling occurred between hybrids as pH was below

Table 1. Diets (DM basis) fed to growing steers for 84 days to evaluate the use of Masters Choice silage or conventional hybrid.

Ingredients, %	Treatment ¹		
	CON	MC1	MC2
Corn Silage-CON	80	-	-
Corn Silage-MC1	-	80	-
Corn Silage-MC2	-	-	80
MDGS ²	15	15	15
Supplement ³	5	5	5

¹ CON, corn hybrid of Farm Choice silage containing diet serves as control; MC1, corn hybrid of MCT6365 RIB silage containing diet, selected for greater fiber + starch digestion; MC2, corn hybrid of MCT6733 GT3000 silage containing diet, selected for greater fiber digestion

² MDGS, modified distillers grains plus solubles

³ Supplement consisted of 2.79% fine ground corn, 1.21% limestone, 0.125% tallow, 0.50% urea, 0.30% salt, 0.05% trace mineral package (10% Mg, 6% Zn, 4.5% Fe, 2% Mn, 0.05% Cu, 0.3% I and 0.05% Co), 0.015% vitamin A-D-E package (1,500 IU of vitamin A, 3,000 IU of vitamin D, 3.7 IU of vitamin E) as percentages of the final diets (DM basis) and Rumensin to provide 200 mg/steer daily (assuming a DMI of 22 lb)

Table 2. Nutrient and fermentation analysis of three corn silage hybrids

Item ²	Goal	Hybrids ¹		
		CON	MC1	MC2
DM ³ , %		35.67	36.72	36.11
CP, % of DM		8.10	8.22	8.48
NDF, % of DM		33.43	34.20	36.60
ADE, % of DM		21.37	20.17	22.00
pH, as sampled	< 4	3.97	4.00	3.93
Lactic Acid, % of DM	> 4	4.94	3.82	4.28
Acetic Acid, % of DM	< 3	3.58	4.85	2.91
Lactic/Acetic Ratio	1.6–3.0	1.38	0.84	2.34
Propionic Acid, % of DM	< 1	0.80	1.18	0.46
Butyric Acid, % of DM	< 0.1	0.06	0.11	0.01
IsoButyric, % of DM		0.01	0.01	0.01
Total Acids, % of DM	7.0–12.0	9.39	9.97	7.66
Ammonia, CPE% of DM		0.64	0.73	0.53
Ammonia-N, % of Total N	8.0–15.0	7.89	9.01	6.00
VFA Score	6.0–10.0	7.61	7.09	7.35

¹ CON, corn hybrid of Farm Choice silage serves as control; MC1, corn hybrid of MCT6365 RIB silage, selected for greater fiber + starch digestion; MC2, corn hybrid of MCT6733 GT3000 silage, selected for greater fiber digestion

² All values except DM content were averages of three samples taken before the bag opening, at the middle and at the end of the feeding period

³ DM content of each silage was averaged from 12 weekly samples during the whole feeding periods

Table 3. Effect of Masters Choice corn silage hybrids on growing performance of beef steers.

Variable	Treatments ¹			SEM	P-value
	CON	MC1	MC2		
Initial BW, lb	667	667	667	0.7	0.99
Ending BW, lb	1001 ^{ab}	1011 ^a	995 ^b	5.1	0.10
DMI, lb/d	22.7 ^b	22.5 ^b	24.0 ^a	0.141	<0.01
ADG, lb	3.98 ^{ab}	4.11 ^a	3.92 ^b	0.058	0.09
F:G	5.71 ^b	5.48 ^a	6.13 ^c	0.065	<0.01

^{a-c} Means within a row with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

¹ CON, corn hybrid of Farm Choice silage containing diet serves as control; MC1, corn hybrid of MCT6365 RIB silage containing diet, selected for greater fiber + starch digestion; MC2, corn hybrid of MCT6733 GT3000 silage containing diet, selected for greater fiber digestion

4.0 and total acids was at least 7.0%. Fiber, protein, and DM contents appear similar but silage storage is not replicated so nutrient differences are not analyzed statistically.

Ending BW and ADG tended ($P \leq 0.10$) to be impacted by silage hybrid (dietary treatment) while DMI and F:G were impacted by corn silage hybrid fed ($P < 0.01$). Ending BW of steers fed MC1 tended to be greater than CON ($P = 0.15$) and was greater than MC2 ($P = 0.04$), while ending BW of steers fed MC2 and CON were not significantly different ($P = 0.46$, Table 3). Intake of steers fed MC1 did not differ ($P = 0.28$) from steers fed CON, whereas steers fed MC2 had greater ($P < 0.01$) DMI compared to CON and MC1. Average daily gain (ADG) of steers fed MC1 tended to be greater than CON steers ($P = 0.14$) and was greater than steers fed MC2 ($P = 0.03$), with no difference between steers fed MC2 and CON ($P = 0.45$). With numerically lower intake and greater ADG, F:G of steers fed MC1 was improved ($P \leq 0.02$) compared to steers fed CON and MC2. Steers fed MC2 had the poorest F:G which was greater than steers fed CON ($P < 0.01$).

The yeast count (Table 4) of the three corn silage samples during the feeding period stayed low and constant with no difference between hybrids. The mold count of MC2 corn silage tended to be increased as time went and was greater than hybrids CON and MC1, especially in week 6, 7 and 8 of the feeding periods. Two bags of each silage were ensiled due to quantity. On week 9, the MC2 bag was switched to the new bag. The mold count of CON and MC1 corn silage were similar through the feeding period. Mold, due to storage conditions in this study, might impact nutrient content and digestibility of corn silage thus compromise animal performance, but was unlikely in this trial.

Conclusions

Feeding Masters Choice corn silage hybrid MCT6365 RIB (MC1) that has been selected for improved starch and fiber digestion at 80% of the diet DM improved ADG and F:G when compared to the other corn silage hybrids grown in Eastern Nebraska. Slightly less intake, greater ADG and improved feed efficiency indicates digestion and energy availability of corn

Table 4. Yeast and Mold counts¹ of corn silage sample on different date

Sample Date ³	Hybrids ²					
	CON		MC1		MC2	
	Yeast	Mold	Yeast	Mold	Yeast	Mold
2/18/2019	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2/25/2019	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3/4/2019	<10	<10	<10	10	<10	50
3/11/2019	<10	20	<10	40	<10	80
3/18/2019	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	10
3/25/2019	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	2200
4/1/2019	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	1800
4/8/2019	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	300
4/15/2019	<10	30	<10	<10	<10	<10
4/22/2019	<10	20	<10	120	<10	<10
4/29/2019	<10	40	<10	20	<10	60
5/6/2019	<10	<10	<10	10	<10	<10

¹ All results are reported on an AS RECEIVED basis (cfu/g), cfu = colony forming unit

² CON, corn hybrid of Farm Choice silage serves as control; MC1, corn hybrid of MCT6365 RIB silage, selected for greater fiber + starch digestion; MC2, corn hybrid of MCT6733 GT3000 silage, selected for greater fiber digestion

³ MC2 sampled on and before 4/8/2019 were before switching to backup storage bag, MC2 sampled on and after 4/15/2019 were from the backup silage bag; samples of CON and MC1 were all from the original bags

silage was improved when cattle were fed MC1, which could be beneficial in reducing total feed cost and make corn silage feeding more appealing to cattle feeders. These data suggest that corn hybrid selection can impact cattle performance when fed.

.....
 Jiehua Xiong, graduate student
 Bradley M. Boyd, research technician
 Levi McPhillips, research technician
 Kyle Vosburgh, Masters Choice
 Galen E. Erickson, professor, Animal Science, University of Nebraska–Lincoln